



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/092,158	03/05/2002	Evan F. Wies	IMM062C	1658
34390 7590 10/15/2010 PATENT DEPARTMENT (51851) KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP 1001 WEST FOURTH STREET WINSTON-SALEM, NC 27101				
EXAMINER BIAGINI CHRISTOPHER D				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2445				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/15/2010		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/092,158		WIES ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Christopher D. Biagini		2445	

All Participants:

(1) Christopher D. Biagini

(2) Carl Sanders (Reg. No. 57,203)

Date of Interview: 1 October 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Potential rejections under obviousness-type double patenting

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Rejected

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 3:00 PM

/HASSAN PHILLIPS/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2451

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: I contacted Mr. Sanders to propose that he obviate a double-patenting issue with respect to several issued patents by filing a terminal disclaimer. Mr. Sanders was unable to obtain approval for the terminal disclaimer from a representative for the assignee of this application within the necessary time frame. I contacted Mr. Sanders again on October 8, 2010 to inform him that an action would be mailed with the rejections we had discussed.